

Faculty Review of Open eTextbooks

The <u>California Open Educational Resources Council</u> has designed and implemented a faculty review process of the free and open etextbooks showcased within the California Open Online Library for Education (<u>www.cool4ed.org</u>). Faculty from the California Community Colleges, the California State University, and the University of California were invited to review the selected free and open etextboks using a rubric. Faculty received a stipend for their efforts and funding was provided by the State of California, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Textbook Name:

MUSC 105 - Music Appreciation






MUSC 105 - Music Appreciation by Dr. David G. Such is licensed under a <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons Attribution 3.0</u>

Find it: eTextbook Website

Textbook Authors: Dr. David G. Such



Date Reviewed:

December 2015

California OER Council eTextbook Evaluation Rubric <u>CA Course ID: MUS 100</u>

| Subject Matter (30 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|--|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| <pre>b the content accurate, error-free, and unbiased?</pre> | | | | Х | | |
| Does the text adequately cover the designated course with a sufficient degree of depth and scope? | | х | | | | |
| Does the textbook use sufficient and relevant examples to present its subject matter? | | | х | | | |
| Does the textbook use a clear, consistent terminology to present its subject matter? | | | | х | | |
| Does the textbook reflect current knowledge of the subject matter? | | | | х | | |
| Does the textbook present its subject matter in a culturally sensitive manner? (e.g. Is the textbook free of offensive and insensitive examples? Does it include | | | | x | | |

| examples that are inclusive of a variety of races, | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| ethnicities, and backgrounds?) | | | |

Total Points: 15 out of 30

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook:

- This is NOT a textbook. Rather, it is a series of online lectures meant to accompany a textbook. The course syllabus notes that the "required text" for the course is The World of Music by David Wiloughby including the supplementary compacts discs that accompany the book. The materials reviewed are fine but not sufficient for a course in Music Appreciation without purchase of the Wiloughby textbook which sort of defeats the purpose of Open Educational Resources.
- Textbooks for this course typically include a survey of musical materials and vocabulary, an introduction to musical instruments of the orchestra, an introduction to musical forms, and a survey of music history and literature from the 14th Century to the present. Stronger volumes would include ample musical scores and access to recordings of those examples.
- These lectures do not include these items but rely upon another textbook and set of compact discs to provide the necessary materials.

| Instructional Design (35 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Does the textbook present its subject materials at appropriate reading levels for undergrad use? | | | | х | | |
| Does the textbook reflect a consideration of different learning styles? (e.g. visual, textual?) | | | х | | | |
| Does the textbook present explicit learning outcomes aligned with the course and curriculum? | | | х | | | |
| Is a coherent organization of the textbook evident to the reader/student? | | х | | | | |
| Does the textbook reflect best practices in the instruction of the designated course? | | | x | | | |
| Does the textbook contain sufficient effective ancillary materials? (e.g. test banks, individual and/or group activities or exercises, pedagogical apparatus, etc.) | | х | | | | |
| Is the textbook searchable? | | Х | | | | |

Total Points: 12 out of 35

Please provide comments on any aspect of the instructional design of this textbook:

• Fine as an accompaniment to direct learning through the Wiloughby text and compact discs.

| Editorial Aspects (25 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Is the language of the textbook free of grammatical, spelling, usage, and typographical errors? | | | | х | | |
| Is the textbook written in a clear, engaging style? | | | | х | | |
| Does the textbook adhere to effective principles of design? (e.g. are pages latid0out and organized to be clear and visually engaging and effective? Are colors, font, and typography consistent and unified?) | | | | x | | |
| Does the textbook include conventional editorial features? (e.g. a table of contents, glossary, citations and further references) | | х | | | | |
| How effective are multimedia elements of the textbook? (e.g. graphics, animations, audio) | | | х | | | |

Total Points: 12 out of 25

Please provide comments on any editorial aspect of this textbook.

• Online materials have links to other online resources such as You Tube videos of musical performance. Links seemed to be mostly current, but can easily become broken over time and thus limit access.

| Usability (25 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Is the textbook compatible with standard and commonly available hardware/software in college/university campus student computer labs? | | | | х | | |
| Is the textbook accessible in a variety of different electronic formats? (e.gtxt, .pdf, .epub, etc.) | | х | | | | |
| Can the textbook be printed easily? | | Х | | | | |

| Does the user interface implicitly inform the reader how to interact with and navigate the textbook? | | х | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| How easily can the textbook be annotated by students and instructors? | х | | | |

Total Points: 8 out of 25

Please provide comments on any aspect of access concerning this textbook.

• Pages could be printed but because they are contained in a series of folder and modules, it would be a time-consuming task.

| Overall Ratings | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Not at all (0 pts) | Very Weak (1 pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3 pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
| What is your overall impression of the textbook? | | х | | | | |
| | Not at all (0 pts) | Strong reservations (1 pt) | Limited willingness (2 pts) | Willing (3 pts) | Strongly willing (4 pts) | Enthusiastically willing (5 pts) |
| How willing would you be to adopt this book? | х | | | | | |

Total Points: 1 out of 10

Overall Comments

If you were to recommend this textbook to colleagues, what merits of the textbook would you highlight?

• These materials cannot be recommended for use as a stand-alone text for a Music Appreciation course. At best, they are a guide for students to use to work independently through a commercially available text and audio materials.

What areas of this textbook require improvement in order for it to be used in your courses?

• The improvement here is simple - students would need to purchase the WIloughby textbook and the accompanying compact disc that are referred to throughout these lecture note.

We invite you to add your feedback on the textbook or the review to <u>the textbook site in MERLOT</u> (Please <u>register</u> in MERLOT to post your feedback.)



For questions or more information, contact the CA Open Educational Resources Council.



This review is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.